Environmental Sensing: Air Quality and Human Health

Stan Morain Earth Data Analysis Center University of New Mexico

Xiangshan Conference Beijing July 8, 2008

Modeled Results National Picture

The 20th Century may have been comparatively mild. It is reported that 8 of the 10 warmest years in the global record occurred in the 1990s. Since 1900, temperatures in most of the western USA have increased 1-3°C, and ppt. has increased 10-40%.

CGCM1 Minimum Temperature Delta 2095 (DJF)



Although specific years are cited, the maps are averages of 10 years of simulated data. The 2095 Canadian model shows minimum differences ranging from 2-15°C; while the maximum changes range from 1-11°C.



Modeled Results 2030 & 2095 Winter Precipitation Ratios

CGCM1 Precipitation Ratio 2030 (DJF

CGCM1 Precipitation Ratio 2095 (DJF)



Precipitation ratios for 2030 suggest a 25-50% increase in SW AZ and SE CA; with nearly normal or slight decreases over the Colorado Plateau and central New Mexico. By 2095, ppt. is modeled to increase as much as 3X In SE CA and SW AZ; increase by 10-40% over the Colorado Plateau; but decrease slightly in southern NM.

Modeled Results Canadian Model 2030





Modeled Results Canadian Model 2095





Modeled Results Hadley Model-2030





HADCM2 Precipitation Ratio 2030 (JJA)



Modeled Results Hadley Model 2095





Observed Record AVHRR Winter '91 &'97

'91





Observed Record AVHRR-Summer '91 & '97

'91





Canadian Model 2030 & 2095

- The CCGM1 model suggests minimum winter warming of 1-2°C, with changes in winter maxima in the 3-6°C throughout most of the Southwest.
- Summer temperatures might increase 1-2°C, and perhaps as much as 3°C.
- By the 2090s, winter minimums could increase from 4-10°C and the maxima from 5-14°C
- By 2030, winter precip. is modeled to increase slightly across southern Arizona, but remain static throughout most of the SW.
- Summer precip. ratios could increase in California and Arizona, but decrease in New Mexico by 25-50%.

Hadley Model 2030 & 2095

- In 2030, the Hadley model suggest winter minimum temperature increases of 1-3°C, with changes in the maxima of from 1-4°C.
- Summer minimum increases for 2030 are modeled at 1-2°C, while maxima may increase from >1 to >2°C.
- Winter precip. ratios for 2030 suggest double the current rate in the south, grading to a 25% increase in the north. Summers are modeled to be quite dry (with only half the current rate in central AZ, and only 75% of the current precip. in New Mexico)
- By the 2090s, winter minimum temperatures could increase from 1->5°C with an increase in the winter maxima of >6°C.
- Summer minimum increases range from 2-5°C, and the summer maxima might increase from 4->6°C
- Winter precip. ratios show increases throughout the region (1.5X to 2X), but summer ratios show significant deficits (ca. 0.4X to 0.75X), at least in the AZ and NM areas.

Observed Modern Changes





High elevation Spruce/Fir forest and low elevation Grass/shrubland in the Chiricahua Mtns



Encroachment of Piñon/juniper woodlands into foothills and riparian stringers

Particulate Matter Size Distribution & Their Related Biophysical Impacts



Aims and Goals

- Focus on SW, dust storms, respiratory diseases, and syndromic surveillance
- 3 thrusts
 - Assimilate EO data into DREAM as part of NCEP/Eta forecasting system
 - Measure incremental improvements to DREAM outputs as inputs to RSVP/SYRIS
 - Create collaborations with public health authorities to validate relationships between dust episodes and respiratory complaints

New Mexico/Texas Dust Storm – Dec 2003



Model Domain



- Domain center at (109°W, 35°N)
- Horizontal semistaggered Arakawa E grid
- Horizontal grid spacing 1/3 degree

$\frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial t} = -u \frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial y} - (w - v_{gk}) \frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial z} - \nabla (\mathcal{K}_{H} \nabla C_{k}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mathcal{K}_{Z} \frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial z} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial t} \right)_{SOURCE} - \left(\frac{\partial C_{k}}{\partial t} \right)_{SINK}$ Horizontal advection Absorption Reflection





red isolines = temperature blue isolines = geopotential height



Baseline and Replacement Parameters

Baseline DREAM Parameters	Function/Purpose	EO Replacement Parameters
ECWMF medium-range weather forecast model	Initial & boundary conditions; Res. = 1°	NCEP/eta global forecast model
Olsen World Ecosystems	Land cover; Res. = 10min.	MOD-12 Res. = 1km
USGS terrain data	Res. = 1km	SRTM-30 Res. = 1km
Aerodynamic roughness length: predicted using 12 SSiB land cover types	Estimate dust entrainment potential	Look-up table linked to MOD-12 land cover
Soil Moisture: simulated using a land surface model	Res. = 2min.; categories reduced to texture categories	AMSR-E

Assimilation vs. Fusion

Assimilation: The process of replacing selected static parameters in an Earth system model with digital pixel values from Earth observation data sets to improve the model's performance and convert it into a more dynamic (forecasting) form without changing the model's intended purpose.

Fusion: The process of including EO image products (at any of several levels of processing) into a GIS architecture in such a way that the datasets, both vector and raster, are geospatially registered at a specified scale. This usually requires subsetting, re-projection and rescaling of fused data.

Steps in Assimilation

- Assess metadata & attributes of current model inputs and of possible EO inputs
 - Measurement units
 - x,y,z Resolution
 - Temporal frequency
 - Projection
 - File formats
 - Validity & accuracy
 - Error & error propagation
- Select EO inputs based on highest perceived benefit for enhancing model output
- Replace model input with EO data and compare model outputs
- Iterate with successive EO inputs
- Measure improvements at each stage and document overall performance improvements

The Ba	ker's Rack	Aims are to: (1) replace selected travs in the
Surface conditions - Terrain - Atmospherics - Geospatial base	FPAR Leaf area index Land cover Soil moisture content Soil temperature Soil texture Surface roughness length Aspect Slope Digital elevation Air temperature at ground Humidity 24, 48, 72 Hour precipitation Wind speed Wind direction Geopotential height Geographic grid	rack with regularly refreshed EO digital data from the "terrain." "surface conditions," and "atmospheric" parameters that drive DREAM; (2) improve model output without altering the validity of the model's original function; and (3) convert the model to a more dynamic forecast.
Terrain - Atmospherics - Geospatial base	Soil moisture content Soil temperature Soil texture Surface roughness length Aspect Slope Digital elevation Air temperature at ground Humidity 24, 48, 72 Hour precipitation Wind speed Wind direction Geopotential height Geographic grid	"terrain." "surface conditions," and "atmospheric" parameters that drive DREAM; (2) improve model output without altering the validity of the model's origina function; and (3) convert the model to a more dynamic forecas

 \wedge



Olson World Ecosystems

MOD12Q1 Land cover reduced to Binary format

Aerodynamic Surface Roughness (z₀) controls dust entrainment

DN	Land Cover Category	Z _o Range (m)	Default z _o
8	Woody Savanna	0.10- 0.20	0.15
9	Savanna	0.03- 0.10	0.06
10	Grassland	0.03- 0.07	0.05
12	Cropland	0.04- 0.18	0.11
14	Crops/Natural Mosaic	0.10- 0.30	0.20
16	Barren/Sparse	0.00- 0.01	0.01
253	Fill	0.00	0.00

Observed Visibility vs Modeled Dust Concentrations Dec. 15-16, 2003



Continuous Air Monitoring Stations

DREAM Baseline (no EO data included)

DREAM Performance Before & After EO Data Assimilation

Metrics	Wind	Wind	Temp.	Definition
	Speed (m/s)	Direction (°)	(K)	(M = modeled; O = observed)
Mean observed	5.53	231.40	276.74	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}O_{i}$
Mean	4.65	226.60	275.56	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}M_{i}$
modeled	4.37	230.38	277.48	
Mean	-0.88	-4.80	-1.20	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_{i}-O_{i})$
bias	-1.16	-1.02	0.72	
Mean	1.97	51.76	4.09	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left \boldsymbol{M}_{i}-\boldsymbol{O}_{i}\right $
error	2.03	47.85	2.67	
Agreement	0.74	0.74	0.71	$1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i - \overline{O} + O_i - \overline{O})}$
index	0.75	0.76	0.95	

Blue = before EO Data Assimilation **Red** = after EO Data Assimilation

January 2007 AIRNow Data

 $N \approx 29 K$ data points from 40 sites in the model domain



Dust Storm of January 4-6, 2007



Magnitude Correlation, Jan 4-6, 2007



Timing Correlation, Jan 4-6, 2007



Incremental Improvements to Model Performance

Baseline Model Performance



University of Malta University of New Mexico University of Arizona

Model Performance After Assimilating Earth Observation Data



NASA / University of New Mexico University of Arizona World Meterological Organization

Model Performance Using NCEP/NMM Weather Forecast Model



NASA / University of New Mexico University of Arizona World Meterological Organization

Dust Cloud Animation (PM-10) 72 Hr Outlook for Lubbock, TX

